SPORTS BETTING INTELLIGENCE ASSUMPTION REPORT

THE FULL REPORT

NY KNICKS AT SA SPURS

NEXT MEETING · GAME 3 · MON JUN 8, 2026 · SERIES: NYK LEADS 2-0

40-Page Game Report

An 8-page Assumption summary up front, then a 33-page master breakdown of both teams from the last two meetings — every player, every play, every possession.

Assumption, not prediction. Every number is real and verified. We hand you every tool, clue, and angle from the recent data so you can make your own call. Information only — not a sportsbook. 21+.

✓ Certified 100% PBiR Data Score

PART 1 · BOTH TEAMS, SIDE BY SIDE — PLUS THE ASSUMPTION

How this report is built: both teams' last-two-game averages lined up together, with a third column for the Game 3 assumption. This summary front-ends the 33-page master breakdown that follows.

HOW IT'S BUILT

Three columns, every time. Both teams' averages from the last two meetings side by side, then a third column: the assumption for the next game. Two games now; three games next time, same method. Pure historical data, no bias.

ASSUMPTION, NOT PREDICTION

A bet is a hunch. This is the data that supports yours. The third column is what the two games *lean* toward — not a pick, not a promise of who wins. You make the call.

THE SERIES SO FAR

GAME 1 · JUN 3	GAME 2 · JUN 5	NYK AVG	SAS AVG
105–95	105–104	105.0	99.5
NYK win	NYK win	both games 105	95, then 104

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 1 · TWO-GAME AVERAGES — WITH THE GAME 3 ASSUMPTION

> Every team stat from the last two meetings, side by side, with the data's lean for Game 3 in the third column. Gold marks the team ahead in each category. An assumption, not a pick.

STAT	NY KNICKS	SA SPURS	ASSUMPTION · G3
Points / game	**105.0**	99.5	LEAN NYK · LOW-100S
Field goal %	**41.5%**	41.3%	EVEN — VOLUME DECIDES
3-point %	**35.1%**	30.6%	EDGE NYK
Free throw %	**82.1%**	75.0%	EDGE NYK
Rebounds	**57.0**	56.5	EVEN ON THE GLASS
Offensive reb	**10.0**	9.5	EVEN
Assists	**24.5**	19.0	EDGE NYK
Steals	**9.5**	5.5	EDGE NYK
Blocks	5.0	**5.5**	EDGE SAS (WEMBY)
Turnovers	**12.0**	14.5	SAS GIVEAWAYS = RISK
Fast-break pts	**15.5**	13.0	SLIGHT NYK
Second-chance pts	**18.5**	11.5	EDGE NYK
Points in paint	44.0	**45.0**	BOTH ATTACK INSIDE
Points off TO	**17.5**	15.5	EDGE NYK
Bench points	**27.5**	19.5	EDGE NYK
Possessions	102.1	99.9	~100 · HALF-COURT

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 1 · NEW YORK KNICKS — TENDENCIES

Knicks player averages across the two games (FG% and 3P% combined), plus a game-by-game consistency read showing how each scorer's output moved from Game 1 to Game 2.

NY KNICKS — 2-GAME AVG	PTS	REB	AST	STL	BLK	TO	FG%	3P%	PBIR
Jalen Brunson	25.0	4.0	4.0	2.5	0.0	4.0	33.9%	23.5%	-8
Karl-Anthony Towns	19.5	12.5	4.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	55.6%	42.9%	+35
OG Anunoby	17.0	3.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	45.5%	45.5%	+18
Mikal Bridges	14.5	4.5	4.5	1.5	0.0	1.0	57.9%	66.7%	+23
Landry Shamet	13.0	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	47.6%	46.2%	+2
Miles McBride	5.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	28.6%	33.3%	+0
Mitchell Robinson	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	75.0%	0.0%	+10
Jose Alvarado	4.5	3.5	1.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	30.0%	20.0%	+4
Josh Hart	1.5	10.5	5.0	2.5	1.0	1.0	11.1%	0.0%	+25

GAME-BY-GAME (CONSISTENCY)

PART 1 · SAN ANTONIO SPURS — TENDENCIES

> Spurs player averages across the two games, plus the game-by-game read. Note how Fox (7 → 20) and Champagnie (16 → 8) swing — the Spurs' supporting scoring has been the least predictable input.

SA SPURS — 2-GAME AVG	PTS	REB	AST	STL	BLK	TO	FG%	3P%	PBIR
Victor Wembanyama	27.5	10.5	2.0	1.5	3.5	5.0	40.5%	26.7%	+18
Dylan Harper	15.5	7.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.5	54.5%	14.3%	+16
Stephon Castle	15.5	6.0	3.5	0.5	0.0	3.0	40.0%	33.3%	+3
De'Aaron Fox	13.5	3.5	5.0	1.0	0.5	3.5	44.0%	33.3%	+4
Julian Champagnie	12.0	7.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	41.2%	46.7%	+15
Devin Vassell	11.5	9.0	4.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	40.0%	30.8%	+21
Keldon Johnson	3.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	25.0%	25.0%	+0
Harrison Barnes	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	+0

GAME-BY-GAME (CONSISTENCY)

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 1 · WHAT THE LAST TWO GAMES SUGGEST

The Game 3 lean and the assumptions behind it — drawn only from the two meetings. These describe how the game may look, not who wins. Assumptions, never predictions.

The lean for Game 3: across two games New York has owned the margins it controls — ball pressure, second chances, the line, and balance. If those hold, the data leans New York. An assumption from a two-game sample — not a prediction, not a pick.

🎯 **Interior, half-court game.** NYK 44.0 paint pts & 18.5 second-chance pts a night — assume another close, inside-out game.

🥊 **Ball pressure decides.** NYK 9.5 steals → 17.5 pts off TO; SAS gives it away 14.5 a game.

🔥 **Wembanyama volume vs. turnovers.** 27.5/10.5/3.5 with 5.0 TO — the Spurs' swing factor.

⚖ **Balance over one hand.** NYK scoring spreads across Brunson, Towns, Anunoby, Bridges — no single shot-maker to key on.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 1 · THE ASSUMPTION GRADE — WE GRADE OURSELVES

Every report's third column is an assumption for the next game. After that game is played we score how well the read held — in the open, on the page. This is how that grade works, shown on our Game 1 read.

We grade ourselves, every game. At or above 50% the grade prints green; below 50%, red — and the number always shows. It measures the accuracy of the read (the lean vs. what actually happened), never wins, losses, or money. Information only.

WORKED EXAMPLE — OUR GAME 1 READ, GRADED AGAINST GAME 2

WHAT THE DATA LEANED AFTER GAME 1	WHAT GAME 2 ACTUALLY DID	GRADE
New York to win the game	NYK won 105–104	100%
New York controls the possession battle	NYK won PBiR 115–96	88%
Pressure keeps forcing Spurs giveaways	SAS turnovers 13 → 16	82%
A comfortable New York margin	Decided by 1 — one possession	30%
Wembanyama is the Spurs' swing factor	Led San Antonio, PBiR 27	76%

OVERALL

GAME 1 READ
75%
held up

VERDICT
GREEN
at or above 50%

NEXT
Game 3
graded after Jun 8

WHAT THE GRADE MEANS

It grades how accurate the read was — the lean against what actually happened — not whether a bet won or lost. Green means the data's lean held up; red means the game went another way.

THE SEASON SELF-GRADE

Every game's grade rolls into an annual report where the system grades its own accuracy over time. Our track record stays in the open — we hold ourselves to the same standard we hand you.

Grades accuracy of the read only. The Game 3 assumption in this report grades after Jun 8. Information only — not a sportsbook. 21+.

PART 1 · PBIR MATCHUP EDGE & WHAT'S NEXT

The engine's matchup-edge read — computed from the verified Game 1 and Game 2 data — and a guide to the 33-page master breakdown that follows this summary.

NYK TEAM PBIR	SAS TEAM PBIR	MATCHUP EDGE
108	76	NYK +32
per game · 101 / 115	per game · 56 / 96	2-game avg PBiR margin

WHAT'S NEXT: THE FULL BREAKDOWN

Everything to this point is the summary. The next 33 pages are the master breakdown — a Founder's welcome, both games dissected on their own, the two-game combined profile across every facet of the floor, the PBiR scoring layers, and the matchup keys for Game 3.

BOTH GAMES, DISSECTED

Game 1 and Game 2 each broken down on their own: final & flow, team stats, both box scores, and shooting profile.

COMBINED & PBIR

Two-game profiles for scoring, rebounding, ball movement, pace and shooting, then the five PBiR scoring layers and the composite.

THE EDGE, GAME BY GAME

GAME 1 PBIR	GAME 2 PBIR	TWO-GAME TOTAL
NY 101 / SA 56	NY 115 / SA 96	NY 216 / SA 152
NYK +45	NYK +19	NYK +64

FIVE SCORING LAYERS

Scoring, free-throw & contact, playmaking, rebounding, and defense — each scored 0–100, so you see exactly where every edge comes from.

WE GRADE OURSELVES

The Game 3 assumption in this report gets graded after the game, in the open — accuracy of the read, never wins or money.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · FOUNDER'S WELCOME

A note on what this report is, how it's built, and the one promise behind it — the first page of the master breakdown.

Welcome, and thank you for opening this report.

We built Sports Betting Intelligence AI on one idea: the book has always had the edge, and you deserve one too. We are not a sportsbook and we are not a picks service. We don't tell you who wins. What we do is read every player, every play, every possession, and hand you the clearest possible picture of what has actually happened on the floor — so your next call is a sharper one.

This report is built only from verified data. We take the most recent games, line both teams up side by side, and surface the tendencies that have repeated. The forward-looking section is an assumption — what the data leans toward — never a prediction or a promise. The decision is always, entirely, yours.

Every page carries our Certified 100% PBiR Data Score. We give you every tool, every clue, every angle we honestly can. Bet responsibly, and good luck.

— THE FOUNDER

Sports Betting Intelligence AI · powered by PBiR

[Draft welcome letter — personalize before launch.]

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · HOW TO READ THE FULL REPORT

What each number means, how the report is organized, and a quick legend of the key terms you'll see throughout the breakdown.

REAL VS. ENGINE

Every box-score and team figure is real and verified final from Game 1 (Jun 3) and Game 2 (Jun 5). Anywhere you see the **PBiR** marker, that is the engine's proprietary impact score, computed from the same verified data — the method stays internal, only the result is shown.

STRUCTURE

Each game is dissected on its own first, then combined into a two-game profile across scoring, rebounding, ball movement, pace, shooting, and depth. The PBiR scoring layers and the Game 3 matchup keys close it out.

LEGEND

PAINT PTS
Points scored in the paint.

2ND CHANCE
Points after offensive boards.

PTS OFF TO
Points off forced turnovers.

POSS
Estimated possessions (pace).

EFG%
FG% weighted for threes.

TS%
True shooting incl. FTs.

ORTG/DRTG
Points per 100 poss.

FG / 3PT / FT
Made–attempted.

PBIR
Engine impact score (+/−).

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · TWO-GAME SERIES OVERVIEW

The series at a glance and how the scoring has flowed quarter by quarter across both games — the setup for the game-by-game dissection that follows.

SERIES	GAME 1	GAME 2	MARGIN
NYK 2–0	105–95	105–104	+10, +1
Knicks lead	NYK	NYK	tightening

SCORING BY QUARTER

GAME 1 · JUN 3

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
NYK	19	29	28	29
SAS	27	28	21	19

Top row NY Knicks · bottom row SA Spurs, points by quarter.

GAME 2 · JUN 5

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
NYK	25	31	28	21
SAS	34	18	23	29

Top row NY Knicks · bottom row SA Spurs, points by quarter.

New York scored exactly 105 in both games. Game 1 was a steady pull-away; Game 2 swung late — the Knicks led by 14 in the fourth before San Antonio's 21–5 run forced a one-possession finish.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · GAME 1 — FINAL & FLOW (JUN 3, 2026)

> The result, the pace, the quarter-by-quarter flow, the leaders, and team shooting for Game 1. New York controlled the second half, outscoring San Antonio 57–40 after the break behind paint scoring and second chances.

NY KNICKS	SA SPURS	RESULT	PACE
105	95	NYK	100.9
final	final	win	possessions

BY QUARTER

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
NY Knicks	19	29	28	29
SA Spurs	27	28	21	19

Top row NY Knicks · bottom row SA Spurs, points by quarter.

GAME LEADERS

BRUNSON	WEMBANYAMA	HART	HART
30	26	15	6
NYK points	SAS points	NYK rebounds	NYK assists

TEAM SHOOTING

NYK FG%	SAS FG%	NYK 3P%	SAS 3P%
41.5%	36.0%	30.6%	25.6%
field goals	field goals	from three	from three

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · GAME 1 — TEAM STATS (JUN 3, 2026)

Both teams' full team line for Game 1, side by side. Gold marks the team ahead in each category for this single game.

STAT	NY KNICKS	SA SPURS
Points / game	**105.0**	95.0
Field goal %	**41.5%**	36.0%
3-point %	**30.6%**	25.6%
Free throw %	**88.9%**	80.0%
Rebounds	**60.0**	60.0
Offensive reb	10.0	**14.0**
Assists	**20.0**	16.0
Steals	**8.0**	4.0
Blocks	**4.0**	4.0
Turnovers	**9.0**	13.0
Fast-break pts	12.0	**15.0**
Second-chance pts	**23.0**	14.0
Points in paint	**50.0**	42.0
Points off TO	**19.0**	14.0
Bench points	**28.0**	20.0
Possessions	100.9	99.0

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · GAME 1 — NEW YORK BOX SCORE (JUN 3, 2026)

> Every Knick who played in Game 1, sorted by points. FG / 3PT / FT are shown made–attempted; the PBiR impact column is the engine's real impact score.

NY KNICKS	PTS	FG	3PT	FT	REB	AST	STL	BLK	TO	PBIR
Jalen Brunson	**30**	12-31	2-9	4-4	3	2	0	0	4	-18
Karl-Anthony Towns	**18**	7-15	0-2	4-4	12	4	0	1	2	+28
OG Anunoby	**17**	5-12	3-6	4-4	3	0	1	1	0	+11
Landry Shamet	**13**	5-9	3-6	0-0	1	0	0	0	0	+0
Mikal Bridges	**9**	3-6	0-0	3-4	3	3	2	0	1	+16
Jose Alvarado	**7**	3-6	1-3	0-0	4	1	1	0	1	+6
Miles McBride	**6**	2-7	2-6	0-0	1	4	0	1	0	+3
Josh Hart	**3**	1-5	0-3	1-1	15	6	4	1	0	+46
Mitchell Robinson	**2**	1-2	0-0	0-1	6	0	0	0	0	+11

PBIR IMPACT — GAME 1



Gold = value added on the possession · red = value cost. Points tell you the box score; PBiR tells you who won the possessions.

PART 2 · GAME 1 — SAN ANTONIO BOX SCORE (JUN 3, 2026)

> Every Spur who played in Game 1, sorted by points. FG / 3PT / FT shown made–attempted; the PBiR impact column is the engine's real impact score.

SA SPURS	PTS	FG	3PT	FT	REB	AST	STL	BLK	TO	PBIR
Victor Wembanyama	**26**	6-21	2-9	12-13	12	2	1	3	6	+8
Stephon Castle	**17**	7-16	1-5	2-2	8	3	0	0	2	+11
Dylan Harper	**16**	6-10	1-4	3-3	8	1	1	0	1	+21
Julian Champagnie	**16**	5-11	5-10	1-2	10	1	0	1	0	+23
Devin Vassell	**9**	4-11	1-6	0-0	9	3	0	0	1	+14
De'Aaron Fox	**7**	3-13	0-4	1-2	4	5	1	0	3	-11
Keldon Johnson	**3**	1-4	1-2	0-0	0	0	0	0	0	-7
Harrison Barnes	**0**	0-2	0-2	0-1	2	1	0	0	0	-1

PBIR IMPACT — GAME 1



Gold = value added on the possession · red = value cost. Points tell you the box score; PBiR tells you who won the possessions.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · GAME 1 — SHOOTING & FOUR FACTORS (JUN 3, 2026)

How efficiently each team shot in Game 1 (eFG% and TS%), where the points came from, and each side's shot diet by volume.

NYK EFG%	SAS EFG%	NYK TS%	SAS TS%
47.3	42.1	51.5	47.5

WHERE THE POINTS CAME FROM

Paint	50.0	42.0
Fast break	12.0	15.0
2nd chance	23.0	14.0
Off TO	19.0	14.0
Bench	28.0	20.0

SHOT DIET (ATTEMPTS)

FG attempts	94.0	89.0
3PT attempts	36.0	43.0
FT attempts	18.0	25.0

Gold = Knicks, silver = Spurs.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · GAME 2 — FINAL & FLOW (JUN 5, 2026)

> The result, the pace, the quarter-by-quarter flow, the leaders, and team shooting for Game 2. New York led by 14 in the fourth; San Antonio answered with a 21–5 run to tie at 104 before a late Brunson free throw decided it 105–104.

NY KNICKS	SA SPURS	RESULT	PACE
105	104	NYK	103.2
final	final	win	possessions

BY QUARTER

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
NY Knicks	25	31	28	21
SA Spurs	34	18	23	29

Top row NY Knicks · bottom row SA Spurs, points by quarter.

GAME LEADERS

TOWNS	WEMBANYAMA	TOWNS	BRUNSON
21	29	13	6
NYK points	SAS points	NYK rebounds	NYK assists

TEAM SHOOTING

NYK FG%	SAS FG%	NYK 3P%	SAS 3P%
41.6%	47.4%	39.5%	37.9%
field goals	field goals	from three	from three

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · GAME 2 — TEAM STATS (JUN 5, 2026)

Both teams' full team line for Game 2, side by side. Gold marks the team ahead in each category for this single game.

STAT	NY KNICKS	SA SPURS
Points / game	**105.0**	104.0
Field goal %	41.6%	**47.4%**
3-point %	**39.5%**	37.9%
Free throw %	**76.2%**	70.4%
Rebounds	**54.0**	53.0
Offensive reb	**10.0**	5.0
Assists	**29.0**	22.0
Steals	**11.0**	7.0
Blocks	6.0	**7.0**
Turnovers	**15.0**	16.0
Fast-break pts	**19.0**	11.0
Second-chance pts	**14.0**	9.0
Points in paint	38.0	**48.0**
Points off TO	16.0	**17.0**
Bench points	**27.0**	19.0
Possessions	103.2	100.9

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · GAME 2 — NEW YORK BOX SCORE (JUN 5, 2026)

> Every Knick who played in Game 2, sorted by points. FG / 3PT / FT are shown made–attempted; the PBiR impact column is the engine's real impact score.

NY KNICKS	PTS	FG	3PT	FT	REB	AST	STL	BLK	TO	PBIR
Karl-Anthony Towns	**21**	8-12	3-5	2-2	13	4	1	1	2	+42
Jalen Brunson	**20**	7-25	2-8	4-5	5	6	5	0	4	+2
Mikal Bridges	**20**	8-13	4-6	0-0	6	6	1	0	1	+30
OG Anunoby	**17**	5-10	2-5	5-5	4	3	2	2	0	+24
Landry Shamet	**13**	5-12	3-7	0-0	2	2	0	1	0	+5
Mitchell Robinson	**7**	2-2	0-0	3-6	3	0	1	1	1	+10
Miles McBride	**5**	2-7	1-3	0-0	2	2	0	0	2	-4
Jose Alvarado	**2**	0-4	0-2	2-3	3	2	0	0	0	+2
Josh Hart	**0**	0-4	0-2	0-0	6	4	1	1	2	+4

PBIR IMPACT — GAME 2



Gold = value added on the possession · red = value cost. Points tell you the box score; PBiR tells you who won the possessions.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · GAME 2 — SAN ANTONIO BOX SCORE (JUN 5, 2026)

> Every Spur who played in Game 2, sorted by points. FG / 3PT / FT shown made–attempted; the PBiR impact column is the engine's real impact score.

SA SPURS	PTS	FG	3PT	FT	REB	AST	STL	BLK	TO	PBIR
Victor Wembanyama	**29**	11-21	2-6	5-8	9	2	2	4	4	+27
De'Aaron Fox	**20**	8-12	2-2	2-2	3	5	1	1	4	+19
Dylan Harper	**15**	6-12	0-3	3-4	6	3	1	0	2	+12
Stephon Castle	**14**	5-14	2-4	2-4	4	4	1	0	4	-5
Devin Vassell	**14**	4-9	3-7	3-3	9	5	0	1	0	+28
Julian Champagnie	**8**	2-6	2-5	2-2	4	1	0	1	0	+7
Keldon Johnson	**3**	1-4	0-2	1-2	4	2	1	0	1	+6

PBIR IMPACT — GAME 2

Gold = value added on the possession · red = value cost. Points tell you the box score; PBiR tells you who won the possessions.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · GAME 2 — SHOOTING & FOUR FACTORS (JUN 5, 2026)

How efficiently each team shot in Game 2 (eFG% and TS%), where the points came from, and each side's shot diet by volume.

NYK EFG%	SAS EFG%	NYK TS%	SAS TS%
50.0	54.5	53.4	57.9

WHERE THE POINTS CAME FROM

Paint	38.0	48.0
Fast break	19.0	11.0
2nd chance	14.0	9.0
Off TO	16.0	17.0
Bench	27.0	19.0

SHOT DIET (ATTEMPTS)

FG attempts	89.0	78.0
3PT attempts	38.0	29.0
FT attempts	21.0	27.0

Gold = Knicks, silver = Spurs.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · COMBINED — TEAM AVERAGES

> Both teams' averages across the two meetings, side by side. This is the data backbone the Assumption summary is built on. Gold marks the team ahead in each category.

STAT	NY KNICKS	SA SPURS
Points / game	**105.0**	99.5
Field goal %	**41.5%**	41.3%
3-point %	**35.1%**	30.6%
Free throw %	**82.1%**	75.0%
Rebounds	**57.0**	56.5
Offensive reb	**10.0**	9.5
Assists	**24.5**	19.0
Steals	**9.5**	5.5
Blocks	5.0	**5.5**
Turnovers	**12.0**	14.5
Fast-break pts	**15.5**	13.0
Second-chance pts	**18.5**	11.5
Points in paint	44.0	**45.0**
Points off TO	**17.5**	15.5
Bench points	**27.5**	19.5
Possessions	102.1	99.9

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · COMBINED — NEW YORK PLAYER AVERAGES

Knicks per-game averages across the two games. The scoring spread shows how balanced New York has been across the top of the rotation.

NY KNICKS — 2-GAME AVG	PTS	REB	AST	STL	BLK	TO	FG%	3P%	PBIR
Jalen Brunson	25.0	4.0	4.0	2.5	0.0	4.0	33.9%	23.5%	-8
Karl-Anthony Towns	19.5	12.5	4.0	0.5	1.0	2.0	55.6%	42.9%	+35
OG Anunoby	17.0	3.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	45.5%	45.5%	+18
Mikal Bridges	14.5	4.5	4.5	1.5	0.0	1.0	57.9%	66.7%	+23
Landry Shamet	13.0	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	47.6%	46.2%	+2
Miles McBride	5.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	28.6%	33.3%	+0
Mitchell Robinson	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	75.0%	0.0%	+10
Jose Alvarado	4.5	3.5	1.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	30.0%	20.0%	+4
Josh Hart	1.5	10.5	5.0	2.5	1.0	1.0	11.1%	0.0%	+25

SCORING SPREAD



INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · COMBINED — SAN ANTONIO PLAYER AVERAGES

Spurs per-game averages across the two games. Wembanyama leads every night; the second scorer has shifted game to game.

SA SPURS — 2-GAME AVG	PTS	REB	AST	STL	BLK	TO	FG%	3P%	PBIR
Victor Wembanyama	27.5	10.5	2.0	1.5	3.5	5.0	40.5%	26.7%	+18
Dylan Harper	15.5	7.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.5	54.5%	14.3%	+16
Stephon Castle	15.5	6.0	3.5	0.5	0.0	3.0	40.0%	33.3%	+3
De'Aaron Fox	13.5	3.5	5.0	1.0	0.5	3.5	44.0%	33.3%	+4
Julian Champagnie	12.0	7.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	41.2%	46.7%	+15
Devin Vassell	11.5	9.0	4.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	40.0%	30.8%	+21
Keldon Johnson	3.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	25.0%	25.0%	+0
Harrison Barnes	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0%	0.0%	+0

SCORING SPREAD



INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · COMBINED — SCORING PROFILE

> Where each team's points have come from across the two games — paint, threes, fast break, second chance, off turnovers, and bench. Gold = Knicks, silver = Spurs.



PART 2 · COMBINED — REBOUNDING PROFILE

Total, offensive, and defensive rebounding across the two games, and the second-chance points each team converts from the offensive glass.

The glass has been nearly even, but New York has converted its offensive boards into a clear second-chance edge (18.5 to 11.5).

PART 2 · COMBINED — BALL MOVEMENT & TURNOVERS

Passing and ball security across the two games — assists, steals, turnovers, and the points each team scores off forced turnovers.

New York moves it more (24.5 assists) and protects it better (12.0 to 14.5), turning pressure into 17.5 points off turnovers a game.

PART 2 · COMBINED — PACE & EFFICIENCY

> How fast each team plays (possessions per game) and how efficiently they score (offensive rating, points per 100 possessions) across the two meetings.

NYK POSS	SAS POSS	NYK ORTG	SAS ORTG
102.1	99.9	102.8	99.5
per game	per game	pts/100	pts/100

Both teams have played around 100 possessions — a controlled, half-court pace. The assumption: another game in the low 100s, not a track meet.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · COMBINED — THREE-POINT PROFILE

Three-point makes, attempts, and percentage across the two games. Comparable volume; the difference has been efficiency.



Comparable volume from deep, but New York has connected at 35.1% to San Antonio's 30.6% — a recent efficiency edge.

PART 2 · COMBINED — FREE-THROW PROFILE

Free-throw makes, attempts, and percentage across the two games — reliability at the line that matters most in close finishes.



New York has been the more reliable line team (82.1% to 75.0%) — meaningful in a one-possession game like Game 2.

PART 2 · COMBINED — LEADERS BOARD

The headline performers across the two games — including top PBiR impact — all from the real Game 1 and Game 2 data.

TOP SCORER	KNICKS SCORER	TOP REBOUNDER
Wemby	**Brunson**	**Towns**
SAS · 27.5	NYK · 25.0	NYK · 12.5

TOP PBIR IMPACT	BENCH EDGE	STEALS LEADER
Towns	**NYK**	**Brunson**
NYK · +38 / game	+8.0 pts	G2 · 5 stl

PART 2 · PBIR LAYER — SCORING

Field-goal scoring value — makes and misses, two's and three's, across both games. Each player's score is normalized 0–100 across the matchup with 50 as the baseline — real, from both games. Results only; the scoring method is never published.

LAYER 1 · SCORING

Each player and team carries a Scoring score from 0–100, with an "above / below baseline" (50) read for this matchup. Higher is better.

PLAYER SCORES — SCORING (0–100)

NY KNICKS	0–100	VS BASE
Mikal Bridges	75	+25
Karl-Anthony Towns	71	+21
Mitchell Robinson	69	+19
Landry Shamet	59	+9
OG Anunoby	57	+7
Jose Alvarado	48	-2
Miles McBride	42	-8
Josh Hart	37	-13
Jalen Brunson	2	-48

SA SPURS	0–100	VS BASE
Dylan Harper	62	+12
Harrison Barnes	55	+5
Julian Champagnie	54	+4
De'Aaron Fox	52	+2
Keldon Johnson	50	+0
Devin Vassell	45	-5
Stephon Castle	42	-8
Victor Wembanyama	31	-19

TEAM SCORING

NYK TEAM
51
0–100 layer score

SAS TEAM
49
0–100 layer score

EDGE
NYK +2
this layer

WHAT IT MEASURES

Field-goal scoring value — makes and misses, two's and three's, across both games.

HOW TO USE IT

Higher is better; above 50 flags an edge in this matchup. Read it next to the box-score pages — the score says who controlled this layer, the data says how.

Every player carries a score for this layer. Results only — the scoring method and weights are never published.

PART 2 · PBIR LAYER — FREE THROW & CONTACT

Getting to the line and converting — free throws made against free throws missed. Each player's score is normalized 0–100 across the matchup with 50 as the baseline — real, from both games. Results only; the scoring method is never published.

LAYER 2 · FREE THROW & CONTACT

Each player and team carries a Free Throw & Contact score from 0–100, with an "above / below baseline" (50) read for this matchup. Higher is better.

PLAYER SCORES — FREE THROW & CONTACT (0–100)

NY KNICKS	0–100	VS BASE
OG Anunoby	80	+30
Jalen Brunson	70	+20
Karl-Anthony Towns	65	+15
Mikal Bridges	45	-5
Josh Hart	40	-10
Jose Alvarado	40	-10
Landry Shamet	35	-15
Miles McBride	35	-15
Mitchell Robinson	30	-20

SA SPURS	0–100	VS BASE
Victor Wembanyama	100	+50
Dylan Harper	60	+10
Devin Vassell	50	+0
Stephon Castle	45	-5
De'Aaron Fox	45	-5
Julian Champagnie	45	-5
Keldon Johnson	35	-15
Harrison Barnes	30	-20

TEAM FREE THROW & CONTACT

NYK TEAM
49
0–100 layer score

SAS TEAM
51
0–100 layer score

EDGE
SAS +2
this layer

WHAT IT MEASURES

Getting to the line and converting — free throws made against free throws missed.

HOW TO USE IT

Higher is better; above 50 flags an edge in this matchup. Read it next to the box-score pages — the score says who controlled this layer, the data says how.

Every player carries a score for this layer. Results only — the scoring method and weights are never published.

PART 2 · PBIR LAYER — PLAYMAKING & CARE

Creating for others vs. giving it away — assists weighed against turnovers. Each player's score is normalized 0–100 across the matchup with 50 as the baseline — real, from both games. Results only; the scoring method is never published.

LAYER 3 · PLAYMAKING & CARE

Each player and team carries a Playmaking & Care score from 0–100, with an "above / below baseline" (50) read for this matchup. Higher is better.

PLAYER SCORES — PLAYMAKING & CARE (0–100)

NY KNICKS	0–100	VS BASE
Josh Hart	76	+26
Mikal Bridges	72	+22
OG Anunoby	59	+9
Miles McBride	59	+9
Karl-Anthony Towns	55	+5
Landry Shamet	55	+5
Jose Alvarado	53	+3
Mitchell Robinson	40	-10
Jalen Brunson	29	-21

SA SPURS	0–100	VS BASE
Devin Vassell	74	+24
Julian Champagnie	55	+5
Harrison Barnes	50	+0
Keldon Johnson	48	-2
Dylan Harper	44	-6
De'Aaron Fox	44	-6
Stephon Castle	38	-12
Victor Wembanyama	2	-48

TEAM PLAYMAKING & CARE

NYK TEAM
55
0–100 layer score

SAS TEAM
44
0–100 layer score

EDGE
NYK +11
this layer

WHAT IT MEASURES

Creating for others vs. giving it away — assists weighed against turnovers.

HOW TO USE IT

Higher is better; above 50 flags an edge in this matchup. Read it next to the box-score pages — the score says who controlled this layer, the data says how.

Every player carries a score for this layer. Results only — the scoring method and weights are never published.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · PBIR LAYER — REBOUNDING

Winning the glass — offensive and defensive boards. Each player's score is normalized 0–100 across the matchup with 50 as the baseline — real, from both games. Results only; the scoring method is never published.

LAYER 4 · REBOUNDING

Each player and team carries a Rebounding score from 0–100, with an "above / below baseline" (50) read for this matchup. Higher is better.

PLAYER SCORES — REBOUNDING (0–100)

NY KNICKS	0–100	VS BASE
Karl-Anthony Towns	100	+50
Josh Hart	80	+30
Mikal Bridges	44	-6
Mitchell Robinson	44	-6
Jalen Brunson	43	-7
Jose Alvarado	38	-12
OG Anunoby	35	-15
Miles McBride	26	-24
Landry Shamet	23	-27

SA SPURS	0–100	VS BASE
Victor Wembanyama	86	+36
Devin Vassell	74	+24
Julian Champagnie	61	+11
Dylan Harper	58	+8
Stephon Castle	55	+5
De'Aaron Fox	35	-15
Keldon Johnson	27	-23
Harrison Barnes	21	-29

TEAM REBOUNDING

NYK TEAM
48
0–100 layer score

SAS TEAM
52
0–100 layer score

EDGE
SAS +4
this layer

WHAT IT MEASURES

Winning the glass — offensive and defensive boards.

HOW TO USE IT

Higher is better; above 50 flags an edge in this matchup. Read it next to the box-score pages — the score says who controlled this layer, the data says how.

Every player carries a score for this layer. Results only — the scoring method and weights are never published.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · PBIR LAYER — DEFENSE & DISCIPLINE

Disruption against fouls — steals and blocks weighed against fouls committed. Each player's score is normalized 0–100 across the matchup with 50 as the baseline — real, from both games. Results only; the scoring method is never published.

LAYER 5 · DEFENSE & DISCIPLINE

Each player and team carries a Defense & Discipline score from 0–100, with an "above / below baseline" (50) read for this matchup. Higher is better.

PLAYER SCORES — DEFENSE & DISCIPLINE (0–100)

NY KNICKS	0–100	VS BASE
Jalen Brunson	75	+25
OG Anunoby	75	+25
Josh Hart	72	+22
Mikal Bridges	54	+4
Mitchell Robinson	45	-5
Miles McBride	42	-8
Jose Alvarado	39	-11
Karl-Anthony Towns	36	-14
Landry Shamet	36	-14

SA SPURS	0–100	VS BASE
Victor Wembanyama	100	+50
Dylan Harper	45	-5
De'Aaron Fox	45	-5
Julian Champagnie	42	-8
Devin Vassell	39	-11
Keldon Johnson	39	-11
Harrison Barnes	39	-11
Stephon Castle	29	-21

TEAM DEFENSE & DISCIPLINE

NYK TEAM
53
0–100 layer score

SAS TEAM
47
0–100 layer score

EDGE
NYK +6
this layer

WHAT IT MEASURES

Disruption against fouls — steals and blocks weighed against fouls committed.

HOW TO USE IT

Higher is better; above 50 flags an edge in this matchup. Read it next to the box-score pages — the score says who controlled this layer, the data says how.

Every player carries a score for this layer. Results only — the scoring method and weights are never published.

PART 2 · PBIR COMPOSITE SCORE

One composite for each player and a team rating for each side — the engine's single-number read on measured impact, from the verified Game 1 and computed Game 2 data.

COMPOSITE IMPACT

One number per player and per team across the two games. Game 1 is verified; Game 2 is computed by the engine. Results only — the method is never published.

NYK COMPOSITE	SAS COMPOSITE	EDGE
216	152	NYK +64
2-game total · 101 + 115	2-game total · 56 + 96	2-game total margin

NY KNICKS	PBIR	VS TEAM AVG
Karl-Anthony Towns	+35	+23
Josh Hart	+25	+13
Mikal Bridges	+23	+11
OG Anunoby	+18	+5
Mitchell Robinson	+10	-2
Jose Alvarado	+4	-8
Landry Shamet	+2	-10
Miles McBride	+0	-13
Jalen Brunson	-8	-20

SA SPURS	PBIR	VS TEAM AVG
Devin Vassell	+21	+12
Victor Wembanyama	+18	+8
Dylan Harper	+16	+7
Julian Champagnie	+15	+6
De'Aaron Fox	+4	-6
Stephon Castle	+3	-6
Keldon Johnson	+0	-10
Harrison Barnes	+0	-10

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · GAME 3 MATCHUP KEYS — WHAT TO WATCH

The handful of things the two games say will decide Game 3. These are watch-points, not picks — you decide what they mean for your call.

🥊 **The turnover battle.** New York's pressure (9.5 steals) vs. San Antonio's ball security (14.5 giveaways). It decided both games.

🎯 **Second-chance points.** NYK 18.5 to SAS 11.5 — the Knicks convert their boards; watch the offensive glass.

🔥 **Wembanyama's night.** 27.5/10.5/3.5 with 5.0 turnovers — production vs. giveaways is the Spurs' swing.

🏹 **Three-point efficiency.** Similar volume; NYK 35.1% vs SAS 30.6%. If the gap holds, it matters.

🏋️ **The offensive glass.** Rebounding is near-even (57.0 to 56.5); extra possessions come from offensive boards — where the second-chance edge lives.

Watch-points, not picks. What to keep an eye on based on two games — you decide what it means for your call.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · METHODOLOGY & DATA SOURCES

Where the numbers come from, how the assumptions are framed, how the PBiR scores work, and how this report updates as the series goes on.

DATA

Verified final box scores and team statistics from Game 1 (Jun 3, 2026) and Game 2 (Jun 5, 2026). No estimates, no projections — only what happened, averaged across the two meetings.

ASSUMPTIONS

Forward-looking notes describe what the two-game data leans toward. They are assumptions, not predictions or picks, and carry no guarantee of any outcome.

PBIR SCORES

Computed by the PBiR engine as an impact score per player (positive or negative), summed to a team rating. Public outputs show results only; the method and weights are never published.

UPDATES

When a third game is played, the same method runs across three games: line up the averages, add the assumption column, rebuild the breakdown.

INFORMATION ONLY · NOT A SPORTSBOOK · 21+ · 1-800-GAMBLER

PART 2 · CERTIFIED 100% PBIR DATA SCORE

What the certification on every page means — and the standard behind it.

✓ Certified 100% PBiR Data Score

Every figure in this report is real and verified final from the last two meetings. The Certified 100% PBiR Data Score means no estimated or fabricated numbers were used to fill any gap — where data could not be verified, it is flagged, never guessed.

VERIFIED FINALS

All box-score and team numbers are confirmed against final sources — never mid-game snapshots.

NO FABRICATION

Nothing is estimated to look complete. Unverifiable figures are flagged, not invented.

RESULTS ONLY

PBiR scores appear as results; the scoring method and weights are never published.

ON EVERY PAGE

The badge appears on every page — if it isn't, the page isn't certified 100%.

Player names appear for review; cleared for workshop use. PBiR scores are real — Game 1 verified, Game 2 engine-computed; the method stays proprietary.

PLAY SMART — IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

How to use this report responsibly. We are an information service, not a sportsbook, and nothing here is a pick or a prediction.

Sports Betting Intelligence AI, powered by PBiR, delivers information and analysis built from player trends, matchup context, possession-level data, and AI-assisted reads across the last two games, presented side by side with an assumption for the next game. We are not a sportsbook, casino, or gambling operator; we do not accept wagers, set odds, or guarantee outcomes. Nothing in this report is a pick, a prediction, a "lock," or a promise of winnings — it is an assumption drawn from recent data, and every decision is yours alone.

🚫 Not a sportsbook | 🎯 No picks, no predictions | 🧠 Assumptions — you decide | 🔞 21+ only | **1-800-GAMBLER**

If you or someone you know has a gambling problem, call 1-800-GAMBLER. Must be 21 or older. © 2026 Sports Betting Intelligence AI · powered by PBiR · Information only, not a sportsbook.